

Meeting Minutes
University Senate Rules Committee
September 13, 2016, 4:00 PM
119 Independence Hall (SCR)

Present: Prosper Boyaka, Jose Diaz, Tim Gerber, Jenna Gravalis, Kari Hoyt, Brandon Lester, Stefan Niewiesk, Maria Salvador, Brian Winer, Kay Wolf

Absent: Blaine Lily

1. Introduction to the Committee for New and Returning Members

A schematic description of the proposal pathway for rule changes/new rules is in the buckeye box and on the senate website. Proposals go from senate administration to Faculty Leaders and Steering Committee, and then possibly to the Rules Committee. Rules are less specific than the policies. As we discuss and come up with drafts of rules, they will be send out to other committees on campus. Final proposals will be discussed by Faculty Council and approved by Senate.

2. Senate Steering Committee Duties Proposal (from Bennett Givens)

B. Givens has proposed to clarify the role of the Steering Committee. Senate used to have the program committee, whose role was to establish the senate agenda. About five or six years ago, this committee was dissolved and the agenda is now set by Steering. It was never established, however, in the rules that the job of Steering is to set the senate agenda. After small editorial changes, the proposal is approved.

3. Discussion of rule 3335-7-08 Annual review, reappointment/nonreappointment, and promotion review procedures (for Clinical Faculty) and 3335-5-19 Faculty (Wolf)

Dr. Wolf requests input on changes for the above named rules.

- A. Clarification is sought on alternative titles for clinical track faculty. These are not limited to examples in the rule, but must be distinct from tenure titles. The College of Medicine is for example using education professor for new program, with clinical faculty in brackets, etc. The committee will discuss potential changes to the rule.
- B. Appointment and reappointment with denial of promotion. Currently, a denial of promotion stops at the college level instead of going to OAA for clinical track faculty. The committee will discuss potential changes to the rule.
- C. The item to consider is emeritus faculty status which faculty receive upon retirement. Possibility of retirement varies by the respective retirement system. Generally, how is the process of becoming emeritus faculty regulated? The committee will discuss potential changes to the rule.

4. State of rule revision 3335-13-06 (Niewiesk/Gerber)

The 06 rule was postponed during the last senate meeting in April because of a floor amendment. The Rules Committee has discussed the proposed amendment, rejected it and suggested the original version of the rule for approval by senate. This is outlined in the last annual report of the committee. Rules will request an update from the Steering Committee how this rule will be handled.

5. Editorial changes to 3335-17-01, 3335-17-04 and 3335-48.13 (Gerber)

Rules with the respective editorial changes will be provided by the senate office, Gerber and Niewiesk at the next meeting of the Rules Committee.